

## Appendix B

### Summary of Comments and Objections Haywards Heath: Bentswood Area Parking Restriction Amendments

	Comments	Engineer's Response
1	<p>Resident of Fairbanks: Extent of proposed double yellow lines at the junction with New England Road do not extend far enough to sufficiently improve visibility at the junction. Large vehicles frequently park close to the junction and drivers will still need to nose out into the road to see approaching traffic.</p>	<p>The proposed parking restrictions at the New England Road junction are in accordance with the recommendation required by Rule 243 of the Highway Code (10metres). An increase in length would result in an unnecessary displacement of parked vehicles.</p> <p>Any request for an increase in the extent of the proposed parking restrictions is beyond the scope of the current Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) making process. Such a proposal would need to be the subject of a further TRO application and public advertisement.</p>
2	<p>Resident of Hazelgrove Gardens and family at local addresses: Correspondence was received from an elderly resident and two family members living at separate addresses raising concerns that new double yellow lines in the area would prevent her from finding parking near her home, and that restrictions were unfair on local residents. After speaking to the lady in question by telephone it was found they had all mistakenly believed that parking was to be banned in the whole of Mayflower Road. The scheme was explained to the original resident who was no longer concerned about the restriction. As the objections were not retracted in writing they are recorded here for completeness.</p>	<p>There is no proposal for double yellow lines in Hazelgrove Gardens. The proposed parking restrictions for the Bentswood area are very localised and targeted at specific locations where local residents have identified road safety problems caused by inappropriate parking. It is understood that there have been discussions at officer level with the resident who no longer has concerns.</p>
3	<p>Local private car park owner: Has been inundated with applications for parking permits since the scheme was advertised and cannot meet the demand. Residents' parking in the area is limited and Mid Sussex District Council should be encouraged to provide alternative public off-street parking places.</p>	<p>The proposed parking restrictions for the Bentswood area are very localised and targeted at specific locations where local residents have identified road safety problems caused by inappropriate parking. The onus is upon drivers to find safe and appropriate parking spaces for their vehicles.</p>

		<p>The provision of off- street parking is beyond the scope of the Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) making process and would be a matter for the local District Council to consider should such a request be made from its local community.</p>
4	<p>Resident of America Lane: Removing parking in America Lane will increase the speed of traffic, which is already too high at present. Restrictions will put residents in position where they have to pave over front gardens to park, which seems unfair, or force them to park in other areas, which will cause conflict.</p>	<p>The proposed parking restrictions are localised and targeted at junctions along the route where drivers are not complying with the advice with the recommendation required by Rule 243 of the Highway Code (10metres), extended in places to address local conditions. On-street parking on America Lane will be retained throughout, consequently it is not anticipated that there will be any significant increase in the speed of traffic. The onus is upon drivers to find safe and appropriate parking for their vehicles. Any residents wish to create an off-street parking space within the curtilage of their property would need to consult with the responsible local authorities.</p>
5	<p>Resident of Heath Close: Parking in Fairbanks and Heath Close is very limited. Has no objection to proposed restriction in the main part of Fairbanks, but the lines at the northern end of the road could be cut back to retain a parking space between the entrance to the two garage compounds at the northern end of Fairbanks.</p>	<p>The intention of the proposal is to prohibit parking on one side of Fairbanks to facilitate vehicular access to along the length of the road. Consequently, the minor amendment of the restrictions proposed by the resident can be supported and the drawing amended accordingly.</p>
6	<p>Resident of Haywards Heath: Parked cars on America Lane are the only thing slowing traffic, which speeds along the road from the roundabout at Barn Cottage Lane. Without these parked cars, traffic speeds can only increase. Residents of America Lane have kept front gardens rather than paving them for parking, but the new restrictions will cause front gardens to be lost. Many trades people live in the area and need to park outside their homes, as parking vans further away increases risk of theft. More time should be spent enforcing existing lines, which are frequently</p>	<p>The proposed parking restrictions are localised and targeted at junctions along the route where drivers are not complying with the advice with the recommendation required by Rule 243 of the Highway Code (10metres), extended in places to address local conditions. On-street parking on America Lane will be retained throughout, consequently it is not anticipated that there will be any significant increase in the speed of traffic.</p> <p>The onus is upon drivers to find safe and appropriate parking for their vehicles.</p>

	<p>ignored, rather than making residents' lives more difficult by introducing new ones.</p>	<p>Any residents wishing to create an off-street parking space within the curtilage of their property would need to consult with the responsible local authorities.</p> <p>Enforcement of existing restrictions would have no bearing on the problems identified by the local community , where there is evidence of regular abuse of existing parking restrictions, this should be reported to Mid Sussex District Council's parking team</p>
7	<p>Resident of Boston Road: It is currently very hard to find a parking space at home after work and proposal will make this worse. Respondent works in community care and restrictions will affect clients who need carers to be able to park for home visits.</p>	<p>The parking restrictions proposed for Boston Road are confined to its junction with America Lane and are in accordance with Rule 243 of the Highway Code advising that drivers should not park within 10metres of a junction.</p> <p>More generally, the other restrictions proposed are similarly localised and targeted at junctions along the route where drivers are not complying with the advice in the Highway Code, extended in places to address local conditions.</p>

### **Support for proposed scheme**

Resident of Haywards Heath supports proposed restrictions at the junction of Farlington Avenue and Oathall Avenue, as parked cars here restrict visibility at the junction.